

be cool. Dennis suggested that perhaps they could try to come up with a reason for the POA to want to control it. Everyone who brings guests out talk about the community center and everything that goes on there as a community and he feels that the community activities should be the POA's area of concern – everyone takes ownership of the building but no one wants to pay for it with their money. The property owners of this community pay about equal money toward both the POA and the RCD and each organization has their area of responsibility – somehow he wants to communicate to the community that the money that they are spending on association dues should go to something like this and the money that they are spending on the RCD should be going toward what they are mandated to do as the RCD. Marty doesn't want the building to be a part of the RCD anymore because they don't make money on it – he'd rather see the money go toward the lake for programs that would clarify the lake such as dredging or carp removal. If the board voted to divest themselves of the property then people would understand that the board is committed to this. Tim said that he agrees with the board that if they were to start over that this building should be the POA's responsibility, however, if they take over the building then they would lose the same amount of money – why would they want it? Dennis said that Tim is right that it'll cost the POA the same loss to manage the building – his argument is that it should be the case. The usage of the building is more geared toward the POA's mission. If the POA and this community want to have the building to host their community parties and meetings, then they should have to pay for that while the RCD should pay for their specific goals. Glenn said that the POA has the ability to raise dues if they need more income while the RCD's income is set at a specific rate and can go no higher. Becky added that the RCD can ask for up to .75% of the assessed value of property within the district and suggested that one way to sell the idea of divestiture to the public would be to reduce the actually amount that they ask for by the amount that it costs to run the building if they did get rid of it, for example, only asking for .65% instead of .75%. Glenn added that if they have to put \$20,000 into the maintenance of the building, then that is \$20,000 that they can't spend on the lake. Marty said that the public is going to have to pay for it either way, whether it is the POA or the RCD that owns it. Marty talked about the LLUD's ability to ask for a special assessment for things that they have to do and Becky said that she knows that park districts can ask for special assessments for things like lighting and road or sidewalk repair around their amenities but she wasn't sure if or how the RCD would go about doing that – they would have to seek legal advice for that. Dennis talked about dividing a portion of the building up to house offices for the POA and LLUD so that the RCD could lease it as a source of income. Glenn said that if the building was privatized, whoever to ownership of the building could do a cost analysis and come to the POA and LLUD and say “we can offer you 1,500 square feet of office space with plenty of parking for this amount of money.” Glenn said that if he was to buy a building like this, he would build a store to put in it to generate revenue. To rid themselves of the building, the RCD board members would have to either auction it off to the highest bidder or they could lease it to someone for up to 50 years. John was concerned with what the POA would say if the RCD was to add a store on. Dennis said that he will take a look at an immediate small repair to take care of the leak and Becky said to let her know if anything that he does will cost more than \$2,500, then they will have to go out to bid on it. Glenn said that he is proud of the fact that the current board has not had to go out and borrow money to do what they need to do. They are debt free and are doing what they can to remain debt free. Marty motioned to investigate the LNNLRCD divesting itself of the building in order to focus spending the money that they receive from taxes more on the lake. Dennis seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll- call vote. [06-13-05]

B. Marty Portner – Steve Larry CCWP Status Report – Steve –

“The permit applications will be completed by the end of next week so we are under any implementation of fees by IL for permitting. We still have not heard that the sequester has lifted in regards to EPA grant funding, so we are in a holding pattern.

The CCWP held a meeting June 11th with 9 members in attendance. They decided to work annually on 2-3 key initiatives to improve focus and success of completion. These items were selected from the initiatives they submitted to the EPA as part of the work they did under the organization of the CCWP and EPA grant supporting the development of the Clear Creek Watershed Plan.

The initiatives for this fiscal year are:

- **Educational Event** that includes a watershed community presentation and tour of the Babbling Brook /Bettner pre-construction site to be held in September. Project Leader: Ed Better with the support of the CCWP.
- **The Planning and Installation of a demonstration rain garden plot** adjacent to the community center. A proposal will be forthcoming to the RCD at a future meeting. Project leader – Jim Brown.
- **Conservation Farming:** Introducing a test plot utilizing a new technique in soil retention that involves over seeding corn fields with a cover “grass”. Project Leader: CCWP will recruit a local farmer.

Other decisions:

- The CCWP will use direct mailings for the purpose of distributing key watershed information to the watershed community on an as needed basis .
- Becky will research the POA covenants to determine if they have a policy re: septic care and/or inspections. This will be in anticipation of the CCWP encouraging a systematic approach be instituted for septic inspections by the POA.
- The CCWP decided not to participate in the Ogle Co. Renewable Energy Fair held annually as it does not fit into their budget nor does it benefit their mission.
- They will have a page on the RCD website to disseminate information to the watershed community. They will also ask Marty to use local newspapers when appropriate to inform the community on key initiatives.”

Security Camera System for LCC – At the last meeting the board had discussed what the security personnel does for them on their properties as well as the potential for using security cameras at the LCC to catch vandalism or other activity that takes place at the LCC. He asked Becky to get a cost estimate on the camera equipment and the amount that the RCD has budgeted for security annually. Becky said that they have about \$6,000 budgeted for security each year. The question he puts to the board is should they make a one-time investment of installing a security camera system for the LCC and look at finding the money to pay for it by not hiring security for the months out of the year where they don’t use them such as in the winter when the campground and beaches are closed. Glenn asked what the value was of the items that they have lost from vandalism made to the building and Becky said that the actually damage to the building is minimal yet quantified by time spent by the employees to clean up after the messes, lock and unlock the doors, automatic water shut-off equipment installed in the bathroom, and the cost of the labor and materials involved in replacing the rock that is used to riprap the shoreline on either side of the dock at the LCC. Marty said that the security personnel are really good at approaching people that may be involved in nuisance activity and that providing them with evidence via the security cameras would help them. Becky said that it would be a more effective use of their time to have the building monitored continuously by cameras and to use them to catch someone vs. the security personnel arbitrarily stopping by to check the building to catch someone in the act. John said that the security would probably not be in favor of a plan that cuts their hours. Dennis said that you have to look at whether the security’s presence has prevented things from happening at the LCC and he doesn’t think so. Dennis thinks that the cameras would be a more effective way to catch what is happening and that they might get a bigger “bang for their buck” than only using the security personnel in stopping the vandalism. Glenn said that the POA has had a lot of success in using cameras at the gates to stop crime and identify law breakers. Tim thinks it’s a good idea too. Becky outlined the cost of equipment (installation not included) – see attachment 1. A 4 camera system, with one camera directed at the parking lot to catch license plates, another directed at the dock and boat to catch activity there, one on the inside foyer and one on the entrance to the office would catch most of the nuisance vandalism, while a 5-6 camera system would also address the security of the building against potential break-ins or theft by having a camera directed on the back door and the front outside entrance. The equipment cost would include a monitor and hard drive that they would install in a locked area to store and view the activity and the system can also use the RCD’s wifi connection to download the images to an off-site location. Marty motioned to appropriate \$1,500 toward the purchase of 5 security camera equipment for the LCC and John seconded the motion. Glenn wanted the motion to include that the cost would be offset by a reduction in the use of the security in the winter. Motion not carried. Marty amended his motion to read as follows: Marty motioned to appropriate

\$1,500 toward the purchase of a 5-security camera system for the LCC with the cost to be offset by a reduction in the use of security during the winter months. Dennis seconded that. Tim asked how the security charges us now and Becky said that they charge the RCD 30 hours a month for their services. Glenn added that they could change it to say that they can try to offset the costs by looking at options to reduce the use of security during winter months unless there are some barriers to that. Glenn feels that if they can't reduce the amount of security that they use then they shouldn't buy the cameras. Marty said that they are also going to have to find out what the installation costs are so they should wait on voting. – Tabled

C. John Harris – Dog Park - John said that he was approached by the Schurs about the status of the dog park. Becky said that she can direct them to Linda Marini, who is exploring that. The areas that they were looking at were the area right before the campground entrance on the creek and the RCD property on Babbling Brook.

D. Dennis Cantrell - Private Owner Lakeshore Stabilization - Andy Warcaba approached Dennis about doing stabilization on his property and they talked about the prevailing wage requirement that the RCD has on shoreline stabilization and that bumped up the price so high that it made it cost-prohibitive for him to participate in the RCD's rebate program.

Stabilization on the property that is adjacent to the West Beach – Dennis said that the property that is adjacent to the West Beach is in need of stabilization and he would like to find out if the if coir log/vegetation method that was done on the peninsula was successful, and if so, would it be a good area to do the same method. Dennis motioned to start the bidding process to get Schings Park, the new lot on Oak Lane, and the property adjacent to West Beach stabilized. Glenn seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously. [06-13-06]

E. Tim Spelde – Kid's Fishing Derby – 26 participants – over 300 fish caught.

Carp removal - was successful – they removed about 1,000 lbs of carp. Joe Rush is coming out tomorrow to help do it again. Tim is considering having them dispose of the fish at the silt basin on Flagg Rd instead of paying Ken Oltmanns to haul it away.

Dam Improvements- Tim had Schulze put in gravel on the road going over the dam to fill in holes there. They are having issues with people moving the stabilization rock that is on the dam to access the shore for fishing and to mitigate that, Tim would like to install another staircase to lead to a fishing platform make it safer for fishermen without them moving the rock.

LCC inlet – Tim would like to get the inlet cleaned out that is by the LCC and will follow up on that.

XI. **New Business**

A. Motion to Approve Resolution 03-2013 – Adopting the Use of the Name “Lost Lake River Conservancy District” – Marty talked to the attorney about using another name for communication purposes while retaining the current name for business purposes and the attorney drew up a resolution for that for the board to vote on. Marty motioned to approve resolution 03-2013 – adopting the use of a name to Lost Lake River Conservancy District (see attachment 2 and 3) and Dennis seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll-call vote. [06-13-07]

B. Motion to Approve Prevailing Wage Resolution 04-2013 – Dennis motioned to approve the prevailing wage resolution 04-2013 and Marty seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll-call vote. 06-13-08]

XII. **Guest Comments – no comments**

XIII. Motion to Adjourn – Glenn motioned to adjourn the meeting at 8:40PM and Dennis seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously. [06-13-09]

June 13, 2013 Motion List

1. Marty motioned to approve the agenda and John seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously. [06-13-01]
2. Marty motioned to accept the annual financial report and publish it in the newspaper within 30 days and Dennis seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll-call vote. [06-13-02]
3. Marty adjourned the meeting for the public hearing on the budget and appropriation ordinance at 7:05PM. John seconded the motion and the board approved it unanimously by roll-call vote. [06-13-03]
4. Dennis motioned to accept the FY2013-2014 budget and appropriation ordinance and publish it in the newspaper within 30 days and Tim seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll call vote. [06-13-04]
5. Marty motioned to investigate the LNNLRCD divesting themselves of the building in order to focus spending the money that they receive from taxes more on the lake. Dennis seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll- call vote. [06-13-05]
6. Dennis motioned to start the bidding process to get Schings Park, the new lot on Oak Lane, and the property adjacent to West Beach stabilized. Glenn seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously. [06-13-06]
7. Marty motioned to approve resolution 03-2013 – adopting the use of a name to Lost Lake River Conservancy District (see attachment 2 and 3) and Dennis seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll-call vote. [06-13-07]
8. Dennis motioned to approve the prevailing wage resolution 04-2013 and Marty seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll-call vote. 06-13-08] Dennis motioned to approve the prevailing wage resolution 04-2013 and Marty seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll-call vote. 06-13-08]
9. Glenn motioned to adjourn the meeting at 8:40PM and Dennis seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously. [06-13-09]

Attachment 1

Security Camera Packages

Option 1 - 8 Channel DVR with 5 Cameras

Item	Qty	Price Ea.	Total
8 Channel DVR	1	\$349-549	\$349-549
Hard Drive	1	\$150-200	\$150-200
23" Monitor	1	189.99	189.99
240 ft Range Camera	2	149.99	299.98
65 ft Range Camera	3	49.99	149.97
100 ft cable	2	24.99	49.98
Male Connectors	8	1.29	10.32

Estimated Total - \$1,199.24 - 1,449.24

Option 2 - 8 Channel DVR with 4 Cameras

Item	Qty	Price Ea.	Total
8 Channel DVR	1	\$349-549	\$349-549
Hard Drive	1	\$150-200	\$150-200
23" Monitor	1	189.99	189.99
240 ft Range Camera	2	149.99	299.98
65 ft Range Camera	2	49.99	99.98
100 ft cable	2	24.99	49.98
Male Connectors	8	1.29	10.32

Estimated Total - \$1,149.25 - 1,399.25

Option 3 - 4 Channel DVR with 4 Cameras

Item	Qty	Price Ea.	Total
4 Channel DVR	1	\$199-399	399
Hard Drive	1	\$150-200	150
23" Monitor	1	189.99	189.99
240 ft Range Camera	2	149.99	299.98
65 ft Range Camera	2	49.99	99.98
100 ft cable	2	24.99	49.98
Male Connectors	8	1.29	10.32

Estimated Total - \$999.25 - 1,199.25

Attachment 2

RESOLUTION NO. 03-2013

Date Passed: June 13, 2013

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE USE OF A NAME

WHEREAS, the Lost Nation / New Landing River Conservancy District of Illinois is a body politic and corporate, organized and existing pursuant to the Illinois River Conservancy District Validation Act, 70 ILCS 2110/0.01, et. Seq. and the Illinois River Conservancy District Act, 70 ILCS 2105/1, et. seq.; and

WHEREAS, the Lost Nation / New Landing River Conservancy District of Illinois name was formed from the combination of two previous property owners associates, one being called Lost Nation and one New Landing; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees of the Lost Nation / New Landing River Conservancy District of Illinois, in order to conform with present conditions where the above mentioned property owners' associations no longer exist, wish to use a new name for purposes of advertising, marketing, and as a common name; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees of the Lost Nation / New Landing River Conservancy district of Illinois wish to have their area commonly known as "Lost Lake River Conservancy District" but wish to continue the use of the "Lost Nation / New Landing River Conservancy District of Illinois" for all legal purposes;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Trustees of the Lost Nation / New Landing River Conservancy District of Illinois that:

1. From the date of this resolution, the area comprising the Lost Nation / New Landing River Conservancy District of Illinois is now to be commonly known as the "Lost Lake River Conservancy District".
2. For all legal purposes, including ordinances and contracts, the Lost Nation / New Landing River Conservancy District of Illinois shall retain its current name "Lost Nation – New Landing River Conservancy District of Illinois".
3. The president and secretary are hereby directed to sign and have recorded a document identifying this change in the public records of Ogle County, Illinois.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 13th day of June, 2013

ATTEST:

/s/ Glenn Baldwin
Glenn Baldwin, President

/s/ John Harris
John Harris, Secretary

Attachment 3

NOTICE OF NAME

The areas currently constituting the Lost Nation / New Landing River Conservancy District of Illinois, by resolution of the Board of the Lost Nation / New Landing River Conservancy District of Illinois, shall from the date of this notice be commonly referred to as the Lost Lake River Conservancy District.

The Lost Nation / New Landing River Conservancy District of Illinois shall retain its current name for all legal documents, ordinances, and contracts.

Dates: June 13, 2013

/s/ Glenn Baldwin
Glenn Baldwin
President

/s/ John Harris
John Harris
Secretary

Prepared By and Return to:
David M. Lyons

Law Offices of Alan H. Cooper
233 E. Route 38, Suite 202
P.O. Box 194
Rochelle, IL 61068
815.562.2677