



LOST NATION-NEW LANDING RCD

September 1, 2009 Time: 7:00PM

I. Call to order – Steve called the meeting to order at 7:00PM

Members Present: Steve Larry – President Glenn Baldwin – Vice President
 Dennis Cantrell – Member at Large Tim Spelde – Member at Large

Members Absent: Betty Lou Finn - Secretary

II. Pledge of Allegiance

III. Welcome Tim Spelde, Our Newest Board Member

IV. Motion to Approve the Agenda – Dennis motioned to approve the agenda and Tim seconded the motion. Steve requested to move item C Dam and Campground update under new business to item D under director reports. Dennis struck his first motion and motioned to approve the agenda with the suggested change. Tim seconded the motion and the board approved the motion unanimously. [09-09-01]

V. Motion to Approve the 8/4/2009 Minutes – Tabled until next month

VI. Motion to Approve the Treasurer's Report – Due to the water damage in June, the RCD had over \$20,000 in extra expenses that they paid out. They will be taken out of the appropriate line items and, if applicable, will be reflected as overages under that line item in the budget. Dennis motioned to approve the treasurer's report and Tim seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously. [09-09-02]

VII. Director Reports

A. Steve Larry – Grant Writing / Watershed Management –

Grant Writing - Rebecca completed the second grant to the IEPA for stabilization of Babbling Brook up to the end of the property within the community lines. They feel that there is a better than 75% chance of obtaining the grant, which is their benchmark for applying for grants. Joe Rush helped out with the survey of the creek that the grant required. To increase their chances of obtaining the grant, it was written around several engineered methodologies for stabilization stream banks and, if it is approved, would become a demonstration stream bank for others in the state to see how it is done. They would hold educational seminars to show people what they have done to stabilize the stream banks, of which Joe Rush and Rebecca Olson will be a part of.

Watershed Management – on September 14, the TAC and WPC are having a joint meeting to discuss watershed management.

B. Glenn Baldwin

C. Dennis Cantrell – Dock Shoreline Request Approvals – no new requests for dock/shoreline

D. Tim Spelde – Security Reports, 2009 Dam Inspection Report, Dam and Campground Update –
Security - Tim reviewed the report that Jack sent to him – they are checking people down by the dam for fishing stickers. Charlie Moore asked if the RCD has plans to enlist help for patrolling the community for vehicles without proper stickers. Tim said that a lake patrol had been suggested in the past, however, the RCD's attorney suggested against a lake patrol vs. security because security knows how to deal with people properly. Becky asked whether the raise that the security personnel was given by the ULLPOA was going to affect the RCD's portion of security that they have budgeted for which is \$480 and Sue Hill said that the RCD should continue to pay the same amount of money that they budgeted for until next fiscal year.

Dam Inspection Report – The dam and extra pipes were inspected by Wendler Engineering all but four of the new pipes to be installed were within the mill specs. The four pipes that were not within spec. have been segregated and will be re-milled to spec. and the pipes that have been installed are the correct size. They made a videotape of the installation of the dam pipes and boards, which will be put with the office file. Tim

made a punch list of items to address, one of which was the removal of one of the trees in the stream that he had Schulze do. There are some washout areas that they have to continue to work down. He is going to put together a spreadsheet of a maintenance program on the dam so Becky and the board have that for future reference. Tim is also going to look into the cost of insuring the dam and report to the board if there is coverage if a catastrophic event were to take out the dam similar to what occurred at Babbling Brook Bridge. Tim will be doing a final walk down of the report with the firm to address final items on that report. The dam inspection report was positive and the dam is in good shape. Jerry said that the boards that were removed are at Ken Oltmanns and should be moved over to the POA east side storage area. Steve asked Tim to arrange to have those moved. Steve said that the engineering report on the dam is available for the public to look at by appointment at the office. If a property owner wants a copy of the report, the cost is \$25 for a black and white and \$35 for a color copy. Mike asked about the four pipes that were out of spec and whether they had anything to do with the damage from the flood and Steve said no – the pipes that were out of spec were spare pipes that were never used and have been set aside to be re-milled. Roger Asplund asked what they meant by the pipes being out of spec and Tim said that they were a thousandths or two off to mil spec in diameter. Roger asked what that does to the function of the pipes and Steve said that they were never used and won't be used. Roger said that he saw a boat with an outboard motor out on the lake and wondered if they were authorized to be there – Jerry said that that was the state of Illinois, who took samples of the lake bottom for sedimentation analysis. Jerry apologizes for not giving the community notice on them being here.

Campground – Tim has directed Schulze to fill in holes on the road to the campground and reseed the area in front of the chain. He has dropped the sign and installed flags for now to prevent people from driving on the area in front of the chain so that the grass will grow back.

VIII. New Business

- A. Watson Shoreline Property Variance Request** - Marty referred to a memo that he sent to the board that contained pictures of the retaining wall that they would like to get a variance on for the rebate program. Their wall was damaged in June from the significant rain that fell over the entire watershed. As soon as the Watson's received their stabilization rebate program packet in July, they contacted the RCD office to find out what they could do to be included in the program even though they weren't going to stabilize their shoreline according to either of the two approved SOPs of either riprap or vegetation. They want to keep their retaining wall in place. Marty inspected the wall and found that it does effectively stabilize their shoreline and has done so for the last 10 years. They are asking for the rebate amount of approximately \$2,200, which is what they would have been entitled to under the rebate program had they followed the SOP.

Mrs. Watson said that because her husband is legally blind, there is no way that they can put in the riprap or vegetation in as a stabilization method because it would be hazardous to his health to walk over either riprap or vegetation to get to his dock. They put their wall in, which is much more expensive than other forms of stabilization, 10 years ago and it has attractively kept their shoreline stabilized that long.

Steve said that last month the RCD board approved the Watson's variance to rebuild the wall. Dennis agreed and said that it serves its purpose. Marty said that the reasonable thing for the board to ask themselves is whether the Watson's method is equivalent or better than the RCD's current approved SOP's for shoreline stabilization, and if so is it reasonable to include it and grant a variance. Steve said that Marty asked a pertinent question as to whether it is as good as or better than the engineered SOP's that were put in place. Steve asked Joe Rush, the aquatic manager as to whether it is as good as or better or if there is not a way to tell because there are not engineering drawings done on it. Joe said that they would need to get engineering specs. on the structure for Dick Bauman, the engineer with Wendler who designed the RCD's stabilization SOPs, to look at and compare the structural stability of the method to determine that. Just hearing that the wall fell in after 10 years indicates to Joe that it may not be as good a method due to the fact that the approved rip rap method that the RCD has in place has been proven to hold up for longer than 10 years. Dennis said that the wall went down under the extenuating circumstances of the flood rather than normal erosion. Steve said that when they had engineers design SOPs for shoreline stabilization last year, they did that because they were concerned that shoreline owners were putting in riprap that may not be stable enough to withstand flooding or the natural movement of water through the lake. People were putting in rock that was not adequate enough to stabilize their shoreline and the rock was washing into the lake. The resolution that they passed said that the SOPs needed to be followed if someone wants to stabilize their shoreline or if their current shoreline stabilization method needed replacement. Robert Stocksdales said that he looked at the wall and the properties on each side of the Watson's and the adjacent properties are not stabilized and appear to have contributed to the damage that occurred to the Watson's wall. Steve said that it would take an engineer to determine whether he is right or wrong in that assessment.

Cathy Watson said that you can measure the lots adjacent to hers and see the erosion that has taken place. Steve said that they can't require anyone to riprap, however, if they choose to stabilize their shoreline, the board can require them to follow the SOPs. The shoreline stabilization rebate packet states that there are SOPs to be followed and doesn't say anything about a variance to pay for stabilization that doesn't follow the SOPs. Cathy said that if they are considered for the variance and they have to rebuild the wall again in 10 years, they are not going to come back and ask for help again. She feels that because it stabilizes the shoreline that there should be a little give. Dennis said that they are going to probably end up with a pretty good percentage of properties that aren't going to fit the SOP and this is one of them. They are going to be facing case by case variances all along the lake, for example some of the shorelines have high elevations that would make it difficult to adhere to the 2:1 ratio of the shoreline slope.

Glenn said that one of the things that the RCD board wants to make sure of is that when they set up a program that it is fair to everyone in the development. There were two properties that came to the RCD looking for help and the board felt that before they could address their concerns, they needed a program established so that a legal and proper decision could be made on every instance that came before the board. It was agreed that a committee comprised of Joe Rush, Jerry Sellers, Glenn Baldwin, Marty Portner and Steve Larry would meet to look at this. The committee came up with a recommendation to the board for a simple program to handle any type of request for the rebate and that is that they would have to follow the SOPs to qualify for the rebate. It is going to be voted on tonight. They want to be careful to be consistent, legal and fair to anyone that comes to the board that wants the rebate. Joe gave his professional opinion that certain stabilization won't last as long as others and Glenn feels that the board should vote on that recommendation first, before deciding on the Watson's or any other request. Steve said that the reason that they had the Watson's request listed on the agenda before the motion to approve the committee's recommendation was because the Watson's had applied for the variance earlier and it was part of the overall discussion that started several months ago with Marty helping them out and them coming to the board with a variance request to build the wall that was granted last month.

Tim said that he hasn't been involved in the whole program but from a newcomer's standpoint, they have money budgeted for the program and he doesn't think that everyone is going to take advantage of it right away, their wall is aesthetically pleasing and there may be ways to make it stronger using mesh placed into the soil behind the wall, and since the Watsons are following the spirit of the program he is in favor of their variance. He understands that there is a procedure in place, however, there can be variances made to the procedure. Charlie Moore said that the wall is doing its job and Mr. Watson is used to the way the wall is built to get to his dock.

Roger Asplund asked if Mr. Watson is asking for monetary assistance to replace his retaining wall damaged by flood and Mr. Watson said yes. He asked about the shoreline stabilization packet that was supposed to be mailed out to shoreline owners because he hasn't received one. Glenn told Roger in a phone conversation that his section of the lake is not a part of the area that has been designated for a shoreline stabilization rebate and he sustained major damage as a result of the flood at a cost of \$600. He said that if they monetarily assist one lakefront property owner that sustained damage from the flood then he thinks that they are going to hear from other people who experienced damage from the flood also. Steve said that right now they are discussing a stabilization rebate program that is in effect for areas of the lake that have been deemed Phase 1 and Phase 2, of which Roger's property is not a part of because those shorelines are fairly well-stabilized and don't contribute as much silt to the lake as the other areas. Steve added that Roger is welcome to see a packet to see what the program is about. Glenn suggested that they table item A. under new business and discuss and make a decision on item B. Item tabled.

- B. Shoreline Stabilization Committee Recommendation to Only Subsidize Riprap Which Meets Current SOP's** – Steve asked Joe Rush to state what the recommendation of the stabilization committee to the board is and Joe said that Glenn explained it pretty well earlier and the only thing he has to add is that the SOP was developed by an engineer as a solid method for stabilization. The RCD felt that if they were going to spend RCD money on expenses for shoreline stabilization, then those two SOPs, which were established by an engineer as acceptable methodology and proven stabilization techniques, would be the ones acceptable for funding. Jerry said that there are similar stabilization methods to the Watsons along the lake that suffered damage as a result of the storm that has been repaired and as far as he knows, those individuals did not come to the RCD looking for remuneration for that. Robert Stocksdales said that he agrees with Glenn's statement of treating everyone fairly, but that they should also consider the Americans with disabilities act, Mr. Watson is considered legally blind. Steve said that it wouldn't apply in this case and added that he doesn't discount Mr. Watson in his disability and he hopes and believes that the board took that into consideration last month when they granted him the variance to rebuild the wall. Charlie Moore said that he is not an engineer, but it's his opinion that if they had rip rapped along Schings Park it wouldn't be there after that rain. Steve

said that he understands what Charlie is saying but he himself can't say that for sure. Harold Stromberger agreed with Charlie adding that the water took the bridge out. Dennis said that that is why they have to look at each property separately and grant variances accordingly.

Joe said that the entire point of the program is to stabilize soil so that it doesn't go into the lake – a standard SOP was put into place to stop people from putting in anything they wanted without any control from the RCD. The RCD wants to try to do what's best for the lake and to incentivize people to do that work by creating a program to rebate shoreline owners who follow the guidelines. Then you come to the legal aspects of the program that the RCD designed, they had to come up with a program that is fair to everyone. On the Watson's property, while he thinks that it will stabilize their property, he can't say whether it has the longevity of the engineer-designed rip rap. The RCD has the professional opinion of Wendler Engineering that the rip rap will stand the test of time and they are willing to put their money into helping the property owner stabilize their shoreline in that fashion because they are spending taxpayer money to help the entire watershed and water quality. Steve said that when they came up with this program to begin with they said that they would stick to the SOP and had the Watson's not had a wall at all and had come to the board saying that they wanted to build a wall, he thinks that the RCD would have said that since it is not in accordance to the SOP, they can go ahead and build the wall if they want, but they wouldn't be rebated. Glenn motioned to take the committee's recommendation that only properties that meet current SOPs for stabilization will qualify for the stabilization rebate program and Steve seconded the motion. Tie vote. Dennis and Tim voted No and Glenn and Steve voted to pass the motion. [09-09-03] Tabled until October

C. Tim – Fish Stocking – Tim asked if they have money allocated for fish stocking and Steve said yes.

D. IDPH Letter Re: Campground Inspect. – on July 29th, only a month after the significant water damage that occurred to the campground in June, the IDPH did a surprise inspection of the campground and reported that it was in excellent condition and indicated that the RCD would be eligible for a full license in the upcoming licensure period.

IX. Old Business

A. Tim: Motion to Pay: Schulze-\$4698.36 for Campground- Schulze gave Glenn a work order breaking down time and material for the job. Tim motioned to pay Schulze \$4698.36 for cleanup of the campground and Glenn seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll-call vote. [09-09-04]

B. Steve: Burn Pile Solution & Burn Pile Signage Quote – Dennis suggested that they could allow the POA to burn on the RCD's property, taking on the expense of security and keeping the burn pile down, with the RCD and the POA together setting limitations as far as the size of the burn pile, when it's used and burned, etc. Tim said that he thinks it has been an eyesore for the community and that it needs to be regulated more, although he lives near it so he is biased – he thinks there might be better locations like the silt basin. He'd like to see the RCD get out of the burn pile business, but not to close it right away, rather, give the community some time, communicate it in the next newsletter, and work with the POA on an alternate solution – perhaps have a vendor come in and chip once a month rather than burn it. Steve asked the POA board members that were present what their opinion on the matter was and Crystal Pohlman said that if they close the burn pile there will be more people burning on their own properties. Charlie Moore added that if you have everyone burning in their ditch lines, the phosphates from those burnings will go right into the lake and it will look nasty with everyone burning in their ditch lines. Steve said that they don't regulate what people do on their property, rather the POA does. Steve said that the RCD has had complaints about the burn pile that were lodged with the POA and sent to them. It is fairly unsightly and they have significant misuse from contractors and people throwing trash on it and it cost the RCD \$600 to burn it the last time. Steve said that if they did close the burn pile, then they would seed it and turn it into a park area so that people wouldn't use it as a burn pile. Glenn said that Rochelle has a program where they pick up brush during the summertime and they announce to the community the times of such pick-ups and that that is one of a few alternatives that they could look at. Many people fish at the dam where the burn pile is currently located and is such an eyesore and he thinks it could be turned into an attractive area (if the burn pile was eliminated). Mike asked how the RCD first got involved with the burn pile and Marty said that it has been there a long time. Joe Olliges, a former RCD member, said that it was here long before he moved here 11 years ago – they tried to regulate it when he was on the board by stating that a contractor could only dump on the burn pile with a property owner present, but it is very difficult to regulate it. Joe said that if he was on the board now, he'd vote to get rid of it. Robert Stocksedale said he would get rid of it just because of the illegal dumping that goes on but he is also concerned that the same people who are dumping illegally on the burn pile now will start dumping on empty lots if the burn pile should be closed. Glenn said that if it is maintained properly, he has found that there really wasn't a lot of expense to keep it pushed up and burned; Joe Olliges said that the burn pile has grown over the years and probably was never meant to be that big when it started. Charlie Moore said that he and John Mulholland

took five loads to the burn pile the other day and he wonders where they would have put it if they didn't have that burn pile. Dennis said he knows it has to be dealt with; he doesn't think that the RCD should be the one responsible. Glenn motioned to eliminate the burn pile effective December 1st, turning the area into a green area and to be open to working with the POA to come up with an alternative of taking care of the brush and Dennis seconded the motion. The motion passed with Glenn, Dennis, and Steve for it and Tim abstaining from the vote. [09-09-05] The RCD granted the LLUD permission to burn brush on the pile from tree clean up that they are performing 9/21 and 9/28 – the LLUD will hire Ken Oltmanns to take care of it.

X. Committee Reports

A. LMC Report (Jerry Sellers) Recommendation to Lower Lake / Bathymetric Survey of the Lake - the

RCD will need to perform a bathymetric survey measuring the amount of silt that is in the lake before they can start implementing a dredging plan. They have a proposal from Integrated Lakes Management of \$6,925 for a winter rate to do a bathymetric survey of the entire lake. If the board approved of ILM to do the survey this winter, it would occur sometime after October 12th. Fish stocking is typically performed the latter part of October and so Jerry recommends that the RCD draw down the lake by a board or two on November 2nd and leave it that way throughout the winter to help avoid ice heaves or damage. They will also have an idea how far the lake is lowered when they remove one or two boards when they are ready to dredge the following year. He also has a proposal from Nordman for \$2,450 to clean out the Flagg Road Silt Basin and if the RCD approves of it he could probably have that cleaned out by the third of October. In 2007 the EPA passed a regulation that anytime that you spread silt over something greater than an acre there has to be a permit issued and an EPA compliance program has to be met to ensure that you are not affecting the water table. So if they have Nordman take the silt to the 23 acre site or to the pit that the Nature Conservancy wants filled, they have to get this permit first. Steve asked how long the winter rates are good for and Joe said that they would have to ask ILM that question although he would tend to believe that it would last until March, when they would get into their spring and summer schedule. Steve wants to be able to make a decision as to whether to spend the money now or later. Dennis asked what the purpose of lowering the lake and Jerry said that the reason they are going to lower the lake is to lessen the damage to docks and shorelines caused from ice heaves. They also want to see how much shoreline is exposed when they take down one board vs. two and the approximate drying time of the land surface; it will make it easier for people to work on their shoreline too. Mike Robinson thought they were going to lower the lake this fall to accommodate the bridge work and shoreline stabilization that would take place. Steve said that shoreline stabilization can be done when the lake is up or down, although it is easier to do when it is down and he has been in contact with the POA office, who is interested in knowing when the lake will be lowered in terms of the construction of Babbling Brook Bridge (Sue Hill said that the Army Corps of Engineers wants that information. Steve said that he hasn't heard whether or not the lake needs to be lowered to have the bridge work done. Jerry said that when the POA gets an engineering study or someone wants to discuss with Jerry or the RCD that the lake must be lowered in order for the bridge to be repaired, they can entertain that date –however, in order to do a bathymetric survey, the lake has to be filled. Tim said that if they are not doing the dredging in 2009, would it be better to have the bathymetric survey done closer to the dredging or do they need the information well in advance for the engineering firm. Joe said that the engineer needs that information to develop the dredging plan. Steve asked Jerry when in the spring would he suggest that they put the boards back into the lake and Jerry said about late April, when there is no more ice. Joe said that there was some confusion in the audience when they stated that the dredging was planned for FY2011 because the fiscal year is 2010-2011 and the work would be performed in latter half of 2010. Charlie asked how long it would take them to do the study once they get the information and Jerry said that the survey takes about 4-5 days and Joe said that then they would have to create the mapping data of the survey. Joe was concerned that it would limit the time that the engineer would have to create a dredging plan for next fall. \$9,275 They looked at the money budgeted for professional services and Becky said that they have about \$12,000 left in the budget to spend on professional engineering for this fiscal year so if they don't have any other expenditure expected under engineering then they should be okay. Steve said that the only other engineering expenditures that he foresees would be those related to the dredging project, which can be held off until the next fiscal year. Joe said that the difference between a winter rate and a spring rate is \$8,625 for the winter rate and \$9,275.50 or a difference of \$650. Because they may have another flood in the spring, it may be best to wait until the spring. Joe said that they had the 100 year rain in the spring and that is why they need to do the survey. Steve said that the day after Christmas, they had a deluge of water come down and he is concerned that if that were to happen again the survey results would be inaccurate. Joe asked what the history of the lake was in terms of that amount of water coming down like in December and in June. Steve is going to ask Dick Baumann for a timeline of the project and whether they can wait until spring to do the survey or if it is critical that they have it done now. Dennis motioned to approve Integrated Lake Management (ILM) to do a bathymetric survey of the lake either this fall or next spring, NTE \$9,275.50 and Glenn seconded the motion. The board passed the motion unanimously. [09-09-06]

- B. Lake Dredging Silt Containment Plan** – Wendler Engineering came up with a proposal that Jerry thinks might do the job at Schings Park – rather than make a dam across it and trying to obtain access from the Watson's off of the main lake they could install a series of wing dams alternating on each side wherein the water would be a foot or two over the wing dams and they would support a backhoe to remove silt much like they do at the other silt basin.
- C. Clean out of Flagg Road Silt Basin** – Tim motioned to approve the clean out the Flagg Road Silt Basin by Nordman Excavating for a sum not to exceed \$2,500 and Glenn seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll-call vote. [09-09-07]

Tim said that he thinks that they need to communicate to the community about the lowering of the lake and he doesn't know if they can wait until the next newsletter if that doesn't come out until November. Marty said that they could use the LLUD's announcement call system. Jerry said that his recommended time of November 2nd could be changed if the POA needs it lowered sooner for the bridgework. Charlie Moore said that one of the engineers at Willett Hofmann said that he didn't think the lake level was an issue; Sue Hill said that the Army Corps of Engineers would like to know because it would make the job easier - they just got the permit yesterday. Steve said that November 2nd is the date that the RCD has scheduled to draw down the lake, however, if the POA finds out that the bridgework requires the lake to be lowered sooner than they will let the RCD know. Jerry said that there is another variable too – the state has said that hopefully they will come down the week of October 15th and shock the lake, which is very important to the RCD (in terms of having an accurate idea of the health and size and amount of the fish population in Lost Lake) and they need to have the lake up in order to do that. Steve said that the lake will come down on November 2nd unless the POA has a compelling reason to have it lowered sooner. Roger Asplund said that the only thing that would shape the date would be the request from the POA regarding the lowering of the bridge and he asked "what about property owners who still have damage (on their docks and shorelines) to repair, will they not be considered in making that decision?" Steve said that there are other considerations that trump that – fish stocking and fish shocking, both of which will be done sometime in October – if it was any other time the property owners would be considered. Roger said that he cannot raise his dock to put back the support posts with the lake level where it is and by the second of November the water is going to be really cold to be going in there to do that.

- XI. Guest Comments – Joe Olliges** – Joe said that they can't stock the lake until the fish shocking is done – Tim said that he planned on working with the people at Gollon to coordinate that. Joe said that if it gets cold up there, Gollon's ponds will have to be emptied.

Sue Hill – Sue said that the security thanks the board for closing the dock at 9:00PM – they've only had one violation on that since then.

Joe Rush – They (he and Rebecca) are working on their requests for proposals for a stream-bank stabilization program for a bio-engineering firm to do that work. Steve said that the board would like the possible engineers to make a presentation to the committee. Joe said that they would be getting the request for qualifications out to them. Charlie Moore asked if they would be using the boxes (gabion baskets) like they've got now and Joe said he will leave that up to the engineers as to the best method to use – the technology has changed.

Roger Asplund – Roger apologized to Steve about a couple words that he left on his answering machine following a phone conversation that they had that was mostly a monologue from Steve that ended with "Have a good evening, Roger", however he apologizes for nothing else that he said that evening. He was upset by that, particularly because in an earlier conversation Steve had stated that the board is there to listen to concerns from the residents and they have to have open communication. He asked if it is the intention of Steve to read the open letter to the board that Roger sent and Steve said no so Roger passed out copies of his letter to the audience members present. Roger requested that there be a 25 minute open conversation between the board and the Roger and the other guests present, giving him five minutes for each of the five concerns in his letter. Despite any verbal response that the board has tonight to his letter, he'd still like a written response from the board addressing each of the concerns that he raised in his letter.

Mike Robinson – Mike asked if the Watson's had an engineering study that said that what they wanted to do was equivalent or better than the RCD's SOP for shoreline stabilization, would that make any difference to the board's decision and Steve said that his personal opinion is yes. If the RCD motions to grant rebates only to those projects that follow the SOP, then they are prohibiting the owners (from getting a rebate) for replacing what was already there that was functioning and may even be better than the SOP. Glenn said that that was one of the factors that were discussed at the committee; however, the main reason for the committee was to come up with a standard procedure for everyone in the development that comes to the board requesting help would be consistent

and fair. Mike asked if the owner chooses to pay for their own engineering study and it came out that the method was equivalent or better would the board still be hard and fast with their rule of their way or no way? Glenn said that they would want to make sure that that property and anyone else would be treated the same way. Steve said the vote came out divided this evening; if the Watson's proposed to use an engineer to give the RCD that information, they would take it into consideration, however, they can't say whether it would go their way or not and if the board were to vote again on only allowing projects that follow the SOP to be eligible for the rebate, (then that would hold true).

John Mulholland – John asked if the speaker system was inoperable and Steve said no. John asked why they didn't use it and Steve said that it was more expedient to set it up this way. Joe Olliges said that it was really hard to hear everybody. Steve said that the point was well taken.

Roger Asplund – Roger asked if they were going to address the items in his letter at the meeting that night and Steve said that they addressed most of them and some of the issues are POA issues.

Marty Portner – What does the board plan to do in terms of the Watsons and Steve said that they will get the committee together to ensure that they are resolved in their recommendation to the board and they will bring it back to the board to put it to a vote, at which time they should have another board member to break the tie. There won't be a decision made on their rebate until that happens.

XII. Motion to Adjourn – Glenn motioned to adjourn the meeting at 9:06PM and Dennis seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously. [09-09-08]

September 1, 2009 Motion List

1. Dennis motioned to approve the agenda and Tim seconded the motion. Steve requested to move item C Dam and Campground update under new business to item D under director reports. Dennis struck his first motion and motioned to approve the agenda with the suggested change. Tim seconded the motion and the board approved the motion unanimously. [09-09-01]
2. Dennis motioned to approve the treasurer's report and Tim seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously. [09-09-02]
3. Glenn motioned to take the committee's recommendation that only properties that meet current SOPs for stabilization will qualify for the stabilization rebate program and Steve seconded the motion. Tie vote. Dennis and Tim voted No and Glenn and Steve voted to pass the motion. [09-09-03]
4. Tim motioned to pay Schulze \$4698.36 for cleanup of the campground and Glenn seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll-call vote. [09-09-04]
5. Glenn motioned to eliminate the burn pile effective December 1st, turning the area into a green area and to work with the POA and be open to come up with an alternative of taking care of the brush and Dennis seconded the motion. The motion passed with Glenn, Dennis, and Steve for it and Tim abstaining from the vote. [09-09-05]
6. Dennis motioned to approve Integrated Lake Management (ILM) to do a bathymetric survey of the lake either this fall or next spring, NTE \$9,275.50 and Glenn seconded the motion. The board passed the motion unanimously. [09-09-06]
7. Tim motioned to approve the clean out the Flagg Road Silt Basin by Nordman Excavating for a sum not to exceed \$2,500 and Glenn seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously by roll-call vote. [09-09-07]
8. Glenn motioned to adjourn the meeting at 9:06PM and Dennis seconded the motion. The board approved the motion unanimously [09-09-08]